



**BACKGROUND**

2. On June 23, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts (the “Court”).

3. The Debtor continues to operate its business and manage its property as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

4. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this case. On July 2, 2008, the United States Trustee for the District of Massachusetts appointed the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”).

5. The Debtor is a closely-held Massachusetts corporation formed in 1967 to perform general contracting services. At one time, the Debtor was the largest heavy civil construction company in the northeastern United States and one of the largest in the country. The Debtor served as the largest contractor (in terms of the dollar amount of contracts awarded), on the historic “Big Dig” Central Artery/Tunnel project in Boston, Massachusetts (the “CA/T Project”).

6. On July 21, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed the Motion for Relief seeking the entry of an Order lifting the automatic stay under section 362(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code to enable them to proceed with a personal injury action pending against the Debtor, among others, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, civil action number 07-CV-9725 (DAB)(DF) (the “District Court Action”).

7. The parties have discussed the Motion for Relief and have agreed to resolve the Motion for Relief on the following terms:<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The summary of the Stipulation set forth in this motion is not intended to be a substitute for the Stipulation, which contains additional terms and provisions.

- (a) The Plaintiffs would be granted relief from the automatic stay provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 362 to prosecute the District Court Action; provided that, any judgment, assessment or other recovery by the Plaintiffs against the Debtor arising from or related to the District Court Action shall be limited solely to the Debtor's insurers and/or to the extent of insurance proceeds available through applicable insurance policies. The Plaintiffs will not be entitled to any recovery against the Debtor, the Debtor's bankruptcy estate and/or the assets of each of the foregoing (except to the extent that applicable insurance policies and/or their proceeds constitute assets of the foregoing); and
- (b) The Stipulation and Order would be effective immediately upon approval by the Bankruptcy Court, and would not be subject to the ten day stay provided for in Rule 4001(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

**THE STIPULATION IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE DEBTOR'S ESTATE**

8. Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure provides, in pertinent part, that “[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the Court may approve a compromise or settlement.” Local Rule 9019-1 also authorizes “settlement of any controversy that affects the estate.” While the decision to approve a particular settlement lies within the sound discretion of the Bankruptcy Court, the Court should give deference to the business judgment of the debtor-in-possession. *See In re Neshaminy Office Bldg. Assoc.*, 62 B.R. 798, 803 (E.D. Pa. 1986); *In re Resorts Int'l, Inc.*, 145 B.R. 412, 451 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1990). In the present case, the settlement contained in the Stipulation is the product of an arm's length negotiation between the Debtor and the Plaintiffs.

9. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Plaintiffs have agreed to limit any recovery in the District Court Action to the available insurance proceeds. Even though the District Court Action will proceed, no claim will be filed against or incurred by the Debtor's bankruptcy estate. The Stipulation is therefore in the best interest of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate and its creditors.

10. The Debtor submits that no party in interest will be prejudiced by the relief

requested in the motion and entry of the Stipulation as an order of this Court.

**REQUEST TO LIMIT NOTICE**

11. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002 and MLBR 2002-1(b) and 9019-1(c), the Debtor also requests that the Court enter an order limiting the notice required with respect to this motion. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002(a)(3) and MLBR 9019-1(c) grant the Court authority to limit notice.

12. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002, the Debtor is required to give at least twenty (20) days' notice by mail of a hearing on the approval of a compromise or settlement of a controversy, other than a hearing on the approval of an agreement pursuant to Rule 4001(d) (relating to motions for approval of agreements to modify or terminate the automatic stay). *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(a)(3). Accordingly, twenty (20) days' notice of this motion is not required.

13. The Debtor has an extensive list of creditors, many of whom are unlikely to have an interest in or respond to this motion. Further, the cost of mailing notices to the full creditor list is substantial and would unnecessarily deplete the resources of the Debtor's estate.

14. The Debtor requests that the Court limit the notice required with respect to this motion on counsel to the Plaintiffs, the Office of the United States Trustee, any secured creditors of the Debtor, taxing authorities, counsel to the Committee, and all parties having filed a notice of appearance in this case. The Debtor further requests that notice of any objection deadline related to this motion be similarly limited.

**WHEREFORE**, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order: (a) approving the settlement contained in the Stipulation filed contemporaneously with this motion; (b) entering the Stipulation as an Order of the Court; (c) finding notice of this Motion as set forth

herein sufficient and appropriate; (d) limiting notice with respect to any objection deadline established by the Court; and (e) granting to the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

MODERN CONTINENTAL  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.,

By its counsel,

/s/ Christian J. Urbano

Harold B. Murphy (BBO #326610)

D. Ethan Jeffery (BBO #631941)

Christian J. Urbano (BBO #644471)

HANIFY & KING, Professional Corporation

One Beacon Street, 21st Floor

Boston, MA 02108

Tel: (617) 423-0400

Fax: (617) 556-8985

Email: [cju@hanify.com](mailto:cju@hanify.com)

Dated: July 22, 2009

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DOCS\535587\1